

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/619,161	07/14/2003	Mary I. Grilliot	MOR3334P2010US	6769	
32116 7550 12/16/2008 WOOD, PHILLIPS, KATZ, CLARK & MORTIMER 500 W. MADISON STREET			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			LIPMAN, JACOB		
SUITE 3800 CHICAGO, IL 60661			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2434	•	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/16/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application/Control No. Applicant(s)/Patent under **Notice of Panel Decision** Reexamination from Pre-Appeal Brief GRILLIOT ET AL. 10/619,161 Art Unit Review KAMBIZ ZAND 2434

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brie	of Request for Review filed 2 October 2008.
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The Requeston(s):</li> </ol>	est is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
The request does not include	been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. reasons why a review is appropriate. cluded with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from unication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains under a is required to submit an appeal brief in brief will be reset to be one month frou running from the receipt of the notice	ppeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has bee appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applican a accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal m mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the FR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date
☑ The panel has determined the Claim(s) allowed:     Claim(s) objected to:     Claim(s) rejected: 1.2.4-6 and 8. Claim(s) withdrawn from consider	ne status of the claim(s) is as follows:
Allowable application – A contact Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution applicant at this time.	ference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A confeaction will be mailed. No further action	erence has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office in is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) <u>KAMBIZ ZAND</u> .	(3 <u>)JACOB LIPMAN</u> .
(2) ANDREW NALVEN.	(4)
/Kambiz Zand/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2434	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Part of Paper No. 20081209